

ISSN 2224-526X

ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ
ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫНЫҢ
Қазақ ұлттық аграрлық университеті

Х А Б А Р Л А Р Ы

ИЗВЕСТИЯ

НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК
РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН
Қазақстан Республикасының
Ұлттық аграрлық университеті

IZVESTIÂ

NATIONAL'NOJ AKADEMII NAUK
RESPUBLIKI KAZAHSTAN
Kazakh national
agrarian university

SERIÂ AGRARNYH NAUK

5 (53)

SEPTEMBER – OCTOBER 2019

PUBLISHED SINCE JANUARY 2011

PUBLISHED 6 TIMES A YEAR

ALMATY, NAS RK

Б а с р е д а к т о р

Есполов Т.И.,

э.ғ.д, профессор,

ҚР ҰҒА академигі және вице-президенті

Р е д а к ц и я а л қ а с ы:

Байзақов С.Б., э.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі (бас редактордың орынбасары); **Тиреуов К.М.**, э.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі (бас редактордың орынбасары); **Елешев Р.Е.**, т.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Рау А.Г.**, т.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Иванов Н.П.**, в.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Кешуов С.А.**, т.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Мелдебеков А.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Чоманов У.Ч.**, т.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Елюбаев С.З.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Садықұлов Т.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., академигі; **Баймұқанов Д.А.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр-мүшесі; **Сансызбай А.Р.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр-мүшесі; **Умбетаев И.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Оспанов С.Р.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА құрметті мүшесі; **Олейченко С.И.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф.; **Кененбаев С.Б.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр-мүшесі; **Омбаев А.М.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф. ҚР ҰҒА корр-мүшесі; **Молдашев А.Б.**, э.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА құрметті мүшесі; **Сагитов А.О.**, б.ғ.д, ҚР ҰҒА академигі; **Сапаров А.С.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР АШҒА академигі; **Балгабаев Н.Н.**, а.ш.ғ.д., проф.; **Умирзаков С.И.**, т.ғ.д, проф.; **Султанов А.А.**, в.ғ.д, проф., ҚР АШҒА академигі; **Алимкулов Ж.С.**, т.ғ.д, проф., ҚР АШҒА академигі; **Сарсембаева Н.Б.**, в.ғ.д, проф.

Р е д а к ц и я к е ñ е с і:

Fasler-Kan Elizaveta, Dr., University of Basel Switzerland; **Koolmees Petrus Adrianus**, Prof. Dr., Utrecht University, The Netherlands; **Babadoost-Kondri Mohammad**, Prof., University of Illinois, USA; **Yus Aniza Binti Yusof**, Dr., University Putra, Malaysia; **Hesseln Hayley Fawn**, As. Prof., University of Saskatchewan, Canada; **Alex Morgounov**, Pr., International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center Turkey; **Андреш С.**, Молдова Республикасы ҰҒА академигі; **Гаврилюк Н.Н.**, Украина ҰҒА академигі; **Герасимович Л.С.**, Беларусь Республикасының ҰҒА академигі; **Мамедов Г.**, Азербайжан Республикасының ҰҒА академигі; **Шейко И.П.**, Беларусь Республикасының ҰҒА академигі; **Жалнин Э.В.**, т.ғ.д., проф., Ресей; **Боинчан Б.**, а.ш.ғ.д, проф., Молдова Республикасы; **Юлдашбаев Ю.А.**, а.ш.ғ.д, проф., РФА корр-мүшесі, Ресей.

Главный редактор

Есполов Т.И.,

доктор эконом. наук, проф.,
вице-президент и академик НАН РК

Редакционная коллегия:

Байзаков С.Б., доктор эконом. наук, проф., академик НАН РК (заместитель главного редактора); **Тиреуов К.М.**, доктор эконом. наук, проф., академик НАН РК (заместитель главного редактора); **Елешев Р.Е.**, доктор техн. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Рау А.Г.**, доктор техн. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Иванов Н.П.**, доктор ветеринар. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Кешуов С.А.**, доктор техн. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Мелдебеков А.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Чоманов У.Ч.**, доктор техн. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Елюбаев С.З.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Садыкулов Т.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Баймуқанов Д.А.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. НАН РК; **Сансызбай А.Р.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. НАН РК; **Умбетаев И.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; **Оспанов С.Р.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., Почетный член НАН РК; **Олейченко С.И.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф.; **Кененбаев С.Б.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. НАН РК; **Омбаев А.М.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф. член-корр. НАН РК.; **Молдашев А.Б.**, доктор эконом. наук, проф., Почетный член НАН РК; **Сагитов А.О.**, доктор биол. наук, академик НАН РК; **Сапаров А.С.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик АСХН РК; **Балгабаев Н.Н.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф.; **Умирзаков С.И.**, доктор техн. наук, проф.; **Султанов А.А.**, доктор ветеринар. наук, проф., академик АСХН РК; **Алимкулов Ж.С.**, доктор техн. наук, проф., академик АСХН РК; **Сарсембаева Н.Б.**, доктор ветеринар. наук, проф.

Редакционный совет:

Fasler-Kan Elizaveta, Dr., University of asel Switzeland; **Koolmees Petrus Adrianus**, Prof. Dr., Utrecht University, The Netherlands; **Babadoost-Kondri Mohammad**, Prof., University of Illinois, USA; **Yus Aniza Binti Yusof**, Dr., University Putra, Malaysia; **Hesseln Hayley Fawn**, As.Prof., University of Saskatchewan, Canada; **Alex Morgounov**, Pr., International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center Turkey; **Андреш С.**, академик НАН Республики Молдова; **Гаврилюк Н.Н.**, академик НАН Украины; **Герасимович Л.С.**, академик НАН Республики Беларусь; **Мамедов Г.**, академик НАН Республики Азербайджан; **Шейко И.П.**, академик НАН Республики Беларусь; **Жалнин Э.В.**, доктор техн. наук, проф., Россия; **Боинчан Б.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., Республика Молдова; **Юлдашбаев Ю.А.**, доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. РАН, Россия.

Известия Национальной академии наук Республики Казахстан. Серия аграрных наук.

ISSN 2224-526X

Собственник: ООО «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан» (г. Алматы)

Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации и архивов Министерства культуры и информации Республики Казахстан № 10895-Ж, выданное 30.04.2010 г.

Периодичность 6 раз в год

Тираж: 300 экземпляров

Адрес редакции: 050010, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, ком. 219-220, тел. 272-13-19, 272-13-18

<http://agricultural.kz/index.php/en/>

© Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан, 2019

Адрес типографии: ИП «Аруна», г. Алматы, ул. Муратбаева, 75

Chief Editor

Espolov T.I.,

Dr. economy. Sciences, prof.,
Vice President and academician of the NAS RK

Editorial Board:

Baizakov S.B., Dr. of economy sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK (deputy editor); **Tireuov K.M.**, Doctor of Economy Sciences., prof., academician of NAS RK (deputy editor); **Eleshev R.E.**, Dr. Of agricultural sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Rau A.G.**, Dr. sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Ivanov N.P.**, Dr. of veterinary sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Keshuov S.A.**, Dr. sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Meldebekov A.**, doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Chomanov U.Ch.**, Dr. sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Yelyubayev S.Z.**, Dr. of agricultural sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Sadykulov T.**, Dr. Farm. Sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Baimukanov D.A.**, doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., corresponding member NAS RK; **Sansyzbai A.R.**, doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., corresponding member NAS RK; **Umbetaev I.**, Dr. Farm. Sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Ospanov S.R.**, Dr. agricultural sciences, prof., Honorary Member of NAS RK; **Oleychenko S.N.**, Dr. Of agricultural sciences, prof.; **Kenenbayev S.B.**, Dr. Agricultural sciences, prof., corresponding member NAS RK; **Ombayev A.M.**, Dr. Agricultural sciences, Prof. corresponding member NAS RK; **Moldashev A.B.**, Doctor of Economy sciences, prof., Honorary Member of NAS RK; **Sagitov A.O.**, Dr. biol. sciences, academician of NAS RK; **Saparov A.S.**, Doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; **Balgabaev N.N.**, the doctor agricultural sciences, Prof.; **Umirzakov S.I.**, Dr. Sci. Sciences, Prof.; **Sultanov A.A.**, Dr. of veterinary sciences, prof., academician of the Academy of Agricultural Sciences of Kazakhstan; **Alimkulov J.C.**, Dr. of tekhncial sciences, prof., academician of the Academy of Agricultural sciences of Kazakhstan; **Sarsembayeva N.B.**, Dr. veterinary sciences, prof.

Editorial Board:

Fasler-Kan Elizaveta, Dr., University of Basel Switzzeland; **Koolmees Petrus Adrianus**, Prof. Dr., Utrecht University, The Netherlands; **Babadoost-Kondri Mohammad**, Prof., University of Illinois, USA; **Yus Aniza Binti Yusof**, Dr., University Putra, Malaysia; **Hesseln Hayley Fawn**, As. Prof., University of Saskatchewan, Canada; **Alex Morgounov**, candidate of agricultural sciences, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center Turkey; **Andresh S.**, academician of NAS of Moldova; **Gavriluk N.N.**, academician of NAS of Ukraine; **Gerasimovich L.S.**, academician of NAS of Belorassia; **Mamadov G.**, academician of NAS of Azerbaijan; **Sheiko I.P.**, academician of NAS of Belorassia; **Zhalnin E.V.**, Dr. of technical sciences, professor, Russia, **Boinchan B.**, doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., Moldova; **Yuldashbayev Y.A.**, doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., corresponding member of RAS, Russia.

News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Series of Agrarian Sciences.

ISSN 2224-526X

Owner: RPA "National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan" (Almaty)

The certificate of registration of a periodic printed publication in the Committee of Information and Archives of the Ministry of Culture and Information of the Republic of Kazakhstan N 10895-Ж, issued 30.04.2010

Periodicity: 6 times a year

Circulation: 300 copies

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of.219-220, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19, 272-13-18,

<http://nauka-nanrk.kz/agricultural.kz>

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2019

Address of printing house: ST "Aruna", 75, Muratbayev str, Almaty

NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN

SERIES OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES

ISSN 2224-526X

Volume 5, Number 53 (2019), 22 – 26

<https://doi.org/10.32014/2019.2224-526X.55>

UDC 636.2.084.522

A. A. Spanov¹, D. T. Sultanbai¹, A. D. Baimukanov²

¹Educational Scientific and Production Center Bayserke-Agro LLP, Talgar district, Almaty region, Kazakhstan,

²Russian State Agrarian University -Moscow Agricultural Academy named after K. A. Timiryazev,
Moscow, Russia.

E-mail: abzal16@mail.ru, darinasultan@lenta.ru, aidartaidar98@mail.ru

**COMPARATIVE RESULTS OF PRODUCTIVITY
OF MEAT-TYPE BULL-CALVES
IN THE CONDITIONS OF BAYSERKE-AGRO LLP**

Abstract. The article presents the results of the research work on fattening of meat-type bull-calves belonging to 4 breeds (Angus, Hereford, Auliekol, Kazakh whiteheaded). Staged diets of intensive fattening and their chemical indices are presented, including the content of digestible protein and the exchange energy of 1 kg of dry matter. The dry matter consumption of the experimental animals was established, as well as the prime cost of product unit in the structure of the diet for the studied breeds was calculated. The average daily weight gains were established depending on the methods of feed distribution, including the terms of breeds.

The diet of the experimental and control groups consisted of 4 components (corn silage, alfalfa haylage, grain mixture, vitamin-mineral premixes for cattle) and was approved taking into account the adjustment in palatability with the rest of the feed table from a daily rate of not more than 5%. According to the results of chemical analysis of feed, the content of digestible protein per 1 kg of dry matter was 10.3% and the concentration of exchange energy was 11.3 MJ, the ratio of concentrated feed in dry matter of the diet was 29.5% against 70.5% of the structural feed.

According to the technology of intensive fattening, a share of concentrated feed in the dry matter of the diet was gradually increased by 6.5-7% with an interval of 10-12 days in order to adapt the rumen microflora and avoid acidosis, with a duration of up to 3 months. The ultimate goal was to increase the share of concentrated feed in the dry matter of the diet to 75%.

In the 1st fattening stage, the average ratio of dry matter of concentrated to structural feed was 56.4% versus 43.6%. The duration of the 1st stage was 60 days, while the increase in the proportion of concentrated feed was carried out every 10 days (an increase in grain mixture by 500 grams in natural weight or 430 grams in dry matter per animal), the share of dry matter in structural feed was reduced proportionally. At the end of the 1st stage, taking into account the adjustment of the diet by palatability, the average dry matter consumption was 8.38 kg per head in the group. According to the results of feeding at the 2nd stage with a duration of 30 days, the average ratio of dry matters of concentrated feeds to structural ones was 66.9% to 33.1%, while the change in dry matter consumption in the group was not observed. The increase in the proportion of concentrated feed in the dry matter of the diet was also carried out with an interval of 10 days, while the increase in the diet was produced by 330 g in natural weight or 280 g in dry matter.

Keywords: feeding, diet, breed, meat productivity, production prime cost, fattening, weight gain, dry matter, protein, energy, concentrated feed.

Introduction. The level and efficiency of the productivity of animals, the duration of their economic use primarily depend on the balance and full-value of feeding [1-3]. The increase in beef production is possible only with the introduction of advanced technology. According to detailed feeding standards, balance in the diet will allow to maximize the genetic capacity of animals, while reducing the growing period and reducing the prime cost of the final product [4-6].

Beef cattle is currently one of the most promising livestock industries in Kazakhstan. But a number of problems related to the profitability of the industry due to inadequate scientific and innovative support

have led to some reduction in efficiency. The low level of growth intensity at high cost of maintenance is conditional upon the insufficient supply of nutrients due to the diets imbalance in energy, protein, and other nutrients.

Currently, achievements in the feeding of ruminants have allowed creating new approaches in assessing feed protein and energy, including processes in the forestomachs, which have a decisive influence on providing the body with protein and amino acids and rationing it for groups in accordance with the expected productivity [7, 8].

In this regard, the research work was conducted on the comparative assessment of average daily weight gains of meat-type bulls of four breeds when balancing the diets in energy, protein, and vitamin-mineral premixes, as well as the impact of various distribution methods on animals.

Material and methods of the research. The research work was performed on the feeding platform of Baysyerke-Agro LLP using meat-type bull-calves of the Hereford, Kazakh whiteheaded, Auliekol, and Angus breeds. The total livestock on the platform at the time of the experiment was 987 heads. The average age of selected bulls for experimental fattening was 11-14 months with an average live weight of 312 kg (lim. 295-330 kg.). The total livestock population of selected experimental animals was 212, of which Hereford - 41 animals, Angus - 64 animals, Auliekol - 56 animals, Kazakh whiteheaded - 51 animals. Keeping condition was untied grouped 60-80 heads in each, divided by age [2, 9]. The distribution of feed was carried out twice with an interval of 8 hours in trays with filling the feeding table with animals no more than 80%, in order to avoid fodder competition during distribution.

The research results. In order to determine the impact of various feeding methods on the meat productivity of animals, in the first month of the experiment, the control group was fed holistically, i.e. distributing the components of the diet separately in accordance with the approved feeding diet. For the animals of the experimental group, it was used monofeed, a homogeneous mixture of all components by distribution through a vertical feeder. The diet of the experimental and control groups was composed of the fodder available on the farm (table 1).

Table 1 – Feeding diets for bull-calves (starting)

| Name of the fodder | Average per animal, kg | Dry matter, kg |
|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Corn silage | 9 | 2.61 |
| Alfalfa haylage | 5.6 | 2.52 |
| Grain mixture (75% barley, 25% corn) | 2.5 | 2.15 |
| Vitamin and mineral premixes for cattle | 0.06 | – |
| Total | 15.86 | 7.28 |

The diet of the experimental and control groups comprised of 4 components (corn silage, alfalfa haylage, grain mixture, vitamin-mineral premixes for cattle) and approved taking into account the adjustment in palatability with the rest of a daily rate on the feed table not more than 5%. According to the results of chemical analysis of feed, the content of digestible protein per 1 kg of dry matter was 10.3% and the concentration of exchange energy was 11.3 MJ, the share of concentrated feed in dry matter of the diet was 29.5% versus 70.5% of the structural feed.

The experimental groups have been fed for 30 days after which there was a control weighing of animals. The results are shown in table 2.

Table 2 – Dynamics of average daily gains of experimental bulls

| Indicators | Group | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Experimental | Control |
| Number of animals | 98 | 114 |
| Average daily gain, g | 960±1.3 | 846±1.1 |
| Live weight, kg | 341±5.3 | 337.9±4.9 |
| Absolute weight gain, kg | 28.8±1.09 | 25.3±1.14 |

According to the results of the control weighing of the experimental and control groups with an identical feeding diet, the difference in absolute weight gain for 30 days averaged 3.5 kg per animal. When calculating the prime cost of 1 kg of the average daily weight gain in the feed structure, the values in the experimental and control groups averaged 318.2 and 361.1 tenge respectively, which characterizes the best feed conversion of animals from the experimental group.

Further, according to the technology of intensive fattening, a gradual increase in the share of concentrated feed in the dry matter of the diet by 6.5-7% with an interval of 10-12 days was made in order to adapt the rumen microflora and to avoid acidosis, with a duration of up to 3 months. The ultimate goal was to increase the share of concentrated feed in the dry matter of the diet to 75%.

Table 3 – Feeding diet of bull-calves (intensive feeding)

| Name of the fodder | 1 stage | | 2 stage | |
|---|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | Average per animal, kg | Dry matter, kg | Average per animal, kg | Dry matter, kg |
| Corn silage | 7 | 2.03 | 4.6 | 1.33 |
| Alfalfa haylage | 3.6 | 1.62 | 3.2 | 1.44 |
| Grain mixture (75% barley, 25% corn) | 5.5 | 4.73 | 6.5 | 5.6 |
| Vitamin and mineral premixes for cattle | 0.06 | – | 0.06 | – |
| Total | 15.86 | 8.38 | | 8.37 |

As can be seen from the table, at the 1st stage of fattening, the average ratio of dry matter of concentrated feed to structural feed was 56.4% versus 43.6%. The duration of the 1st stage was 60 days, while the increase of the share of concentrated feed was carried out every 10 days (increase in grain mixture by 500 grams in natural weight or 430 grams in dry matter per animal), the proportion of dry matter in structural feed was reduced proportionally. At the end of the 1st stage, taking into account the palatability adjustment of the diet, the average dry matter consumption for the group was 8.38 kg per animal. According to the results of fattening on the 2nd stage with a duration of 30 days, the average percentage ratio of dry matters of concentrated feeds to structural ones was 66.9% to 33.1%, while the change in dry matter consumption in the group has not been observed. The increase in the share of concentrated feed in the dry matter of the diet was also performed with an interval of 10 days, while the enlargement of the diet was produced by 330 g in natural weight or 280 g in dry matter.

In the comparative analysis of average daily gains in weight for 90 days of the fattening period, the average weight gains for the 4 breeds were 1.82 kg (table 4).

Table 4 – Dynamics of average daily weight gains of experimental bull-calves in the context of breeds.

| Indicators | Breed | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Hereford | Angus | Auliekol | Kazakh whiteheaded |
| Number of animals | 41 | 64 | 56 | 51 |
| Average daily gain, g | 1.86±1.25 | 1.96±1.3 | 1.75±1.41 | 1.68±1.38 |
| Live weight, kg | 507±4.8 | 516.4±5.3 | 497.3±6.2 | 491.2±5.7 |
| Absolute weight gain, kg | 167.5±3.1 | 175.4±3.5 | 160.3±4.1 | 153.2±3.8 |

In terms of breeds, the highest average daily weight gains were found in Angus and Hereford bull-calves, which were 1.96 and 1.86 kg, respectively. When calculating the prime cost of 1 kg of weight gain in the structure of the diet, the average for 4 breeds was 250 tenge, of which 232.1 tenge for Angus breed, 244.6 tg for Hereford, 260 tg for Auliekol and for Kazakh whiteheaded 270.8 tg.

Conclusion. According to the results of the research work on the comparative analysis of the productivity of meat-type bull-calves, it was found that the highest growth rate and feed efficiency were in Angus breed, which exceeded the indicators of the compared breeds on average by 10.1%, including Hereford by 5.1%, Auliekol and Kazakh whiteheads by 10.7 and 14.2%, respectively.

The basis for doing research. The scientific and technical program of the Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Kazakhstan for 2018-2020. “Creation of “pattern” farms for breeding beef cattle in various regions of Kazakhstan”.

А. А. Спанов¹, Д. Т. Султанбай¹, А. Д. Баймұқанов²

¹"Байсерке-Агро "оқу ғылыми-өндірістік орталығы" ЖШС, Талғар ауданы, Алматы облысы, Қазақстан,

²Ресей мемлекеттік аграрлық университеті – К. А. Тимирязева атындағы

Мәскеу ауылшаруашылық академиясы, Мәскеу, Ресей

"БАЙСЕРКЕ-АГРО" ЖШС ЖАҒДАЙЫНДА ЕТ БАҒЫТЫНДАҒЫ БҰҚАШЫҚТАРДЫҢ ӨНІМДІЛІГІНІҢ САЛЫСТЫРМАЛЫ НӘТИЖЕЛЕРІ

Аннотация. Мақалада 4 тұқым (ангус, герефорд, Әулиекөл, қазақ ақ басы) бөлінісінде етті бағыттағы бұқашықтарды бордақылау бойынша ғылыми-зерттеу жұмыстарының нәтижелері келтірілген. Қарқынды бордақылаудың кезең-кезеңімен рационы және олардың химиялық көрсеткіштері, оның ішінде 1 кг құрғақ затта қорытылатын протеин мен алмасу энергиясының құрамы ұсынылған. Тәжірибе жасалатын жануарлардың құрғақ затты тұтынуы белгіленді, сондай-ақ зерттелген тұқымдар бойынша рацион құрылымында өнім бірлігінің өзіндік құны есептелген. Жем-шөп тарату тәсілдеріне, оның ішінде тұқымдарға байланысты орташа тәуліктік қоспалар орнатылды.

Тәжірибелік және бақылау тобының рационы 4 компоненттен (жүгерінің сүрлемі, люцерн пішенажы, дән қоспасы, ІҚМ үшін витамин-минералды қоспалар) ұсынылды және тәуліктік нормадан 5%-дан аспайтын азық үстеліндегі қалдықпен тамақтану бойынша түзетуді ескере отырып бекітілді. Азықты химиялық талдау нәтижелері бойынша 1 кг құрғақ затта қайнатылатын протеиннің құрамы 10,3% және алмасу энергиясының концентрациясы 11,3 МДж, рационның құрғақ затында концентрацияланған азықтың үлесі құрылымдық 70,5% қарсы 29,5% құрады.

Қарқынды бордақылау технологиясына сәйкес тыртықтың микрофлорасын бейімдеу және 3 айға дейінгі ұзақтықпен ацидозды болдырмау мақсатында рационның құрғақ затындағы құнарлы азықтың үлесін 10-12 күн аралықпен 6,5-7% біртіндеп ұлғайту жүргізілді. Соңғы мақсат рационның құрғақ затындағы құнарлы азықтың үлесін 75% - ға дейін арттыру болып табылады.

Бордақылаудың 1-ші кезеңі бойынша құнарлы азықтың құрғақ затының құрылымға арақатынасы 43,6% қарсы 56,4% құрады. 1-ші кезеңнің ұзақтығы 60 күнді құрады, бұл ретте құнарландырылған азықтар үлесінің артуы әрбір 10 күн сайын жүргізілді (500 гр. астық қоспасының артуы). табиғи салмақта немесе 430 гр. Құрғақ затта), құрылымдық азықтың құрғақ затының үлесін пропорционалды түрде төмендетті. 1-ші кезең аяқталғаннан кейін тамақтану рационын түзетуді есепке ала отырып, құрғақ заттың орташа тұтынылуы бір басына 8,38 кг-ды құрады. Ұзақтығы 30 күн болатын 2-кезең бойынша азықтандыру нәтижелері бойынша концентрацияланған азықтың құрғақ заттарының құрылымға орташа пайыздық арақатынасы 33,1%-ға 66,9%-ды құрады, бұл ретте топ бойынша құрғақ заттарды тұтынудың өзгеруі байқалған жоқ. Рационның құрғақ затында концентрацияланған азықтардың үлесін арттыру 10 күнде интервалмен жүзеге асырылды, бұл ретте рационда өсім 330 г табиғи салмақта немесе 280 г құрғақ затта жүргізілді.

Түйін сөздер: азықтандыру, рацион, тұқым, ет өнімділігі, өнімнің өзіндік құны, бордақылау, салмақ, құрғақ зат, протеин, энергия, концентрацияланған азық.

А. А. Спанов¹, Д. Т. Султанбай¹, А. Д. Баймұқанов²

¹ТОО «Учебный научно-производственный центр «Байсерке-Агро»,

Талгарский район, Алматинская область, Республика,

²Российский государственный аграрный университет –

Московская сельскохозяйственная академия им. К. А. Тимирязева, Москва, Россия

СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ПРОДУКТИВНОСТИ БЫЧКОВ МЯСНОГО НАПРАВЛЕНИЯ В УСЛОВИЯХ ТОО «БАЙСЕРКЕ-АГРО»

Аннотация. В статье приведены результаты научно-исследовательской работы по откорму бычков мясного направления продуктивности в разрезе 4-х пород (ангус, герефорд, аулиеколь, казахская белоголовая). Представлены поэтапные рационы интенсивного откорма и их химические показатели, в том числе содержание переваримого протеина и обменной энергии в 1 кг сухого вещества. Установлено потребление сухого вещества подоопытных животных, а также рассчитана себестоимость единицы продукции в структуре рациона в разрезе изученных пород. Установлены среднесуточные привесы в зависимости от способов раздачи кормов, в том числе и по породам.

Рацион опытной и контрольной группы был представлен из 4-х компонентов (силос кукурузный, сенаж люцерновый, зерносмесь, витаминно-минеральные добавки для крс) и утвержден с учетом корректировки по поедаемости с остатком на кормовом столе от суточной нормы не более 5%. По результатам химического анализа кормов содержание переваримого протеина в 1 кг сухого вещества составило 10,3% и концентрация обменной энергии 11,3 МДж, соотношение доли концентрированных кормов в сухом веществе рациона 29,5% против 70,5% структурных.

Согласно технологии интенсивного откорма производилось постепенное увеличение доли концентрированных кормов в сухом веществе рациона на 6,5-7% с интервалом в 10-12 дней с целью адаптации микрофлоры рубца и избежание ацидоза, с продолжительностью до 3-х мес. Конечной целью явилось увеличение доли концентрированных кормов в сухом веществе рациона до 75%.

По 1-му этапу откорма в среднем соотношение сухого вещества концентрированных кормов к структурному составило 56,4% против 43,6%. Продолжительность 1-го этапа составила 60 дней, при этом увеличение доли концентрированных кормов проводили через каждые 10 дней (увеличение зерносмеси на 500 гр. в натуральном весе или на 430 гр. в сухом веществе на голову), долю сухого вещества структурных кормов снижали пропорционально. По окончании 1-го этапа с учетом корректировки рациона по поедаемости среднее потребление сухого вещества составило по группе 8,38 кг на голову. По результатам кормления по 2-му этапу с продолжительностью 30 дней среднее процентное соотношение сухих веществ концентрированных кормов к структурным составило 66,9% к 33,1% при этом изменение потребления сухого вещества по группе не отмечено. Повышение доли концентрированных кормов в сухом веществе рациона осуществлялось так же с интервалом в 10 дней, при этом увеличение в рационе производили по 330 г в натуральном весе или по 280 г в сухом веществе.

Ключевые слова: кормление, рацион, порода, мясная продуктивность, себестоимость продукции, откорм, привес, сухое вещество, протеин, энергия, концентрированный корм.

Information about authors:

Spanov Abzal Abushakipovich, Senior Researcher, ESPC Bayserke-Agro LLP, Talgar District, Almaty Region, Kazakhstan; abzal16@mail.ru, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9303-3722>

Sultanbai Darina Tavaldievna, Senior Researcher, ESPC Bayserke-Agro LLP, Talgar District, Almaty Region, Kazakhstan; darinasultan@lenta.ru; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9502-7481>

Baimukanov Aidar Dastanbekouly, Master student of the Faculty of Zootechnics and Biology of the Russian State Agrarian University - Moscow Agricultural Academy named after K. A. Timiryazev, Moscow, Russia; aidartaidar98@mail.ru; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9669-864X>

REFERENCES

- [1] Boyarsky L.G., Vetrova P.N. (1997). Fattening of young cattle with the use of grain haylage // Zootechnics. N 3. P. 23-24 (in Russ.).
- [2] Baimukanov D.A., Pristupa V.N., Kolosov Yu.A., Donnik I.M., Torosyan D.S., Kolosov A.Yu., Orlova O.N., Yuldashbayev Yu.A., Chylbak-ool S.O. (2019). Improvement of breeding and productive traits of Kalmyk cattle breed // Bulletin of the National Academy of sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Vol. 2, N 378 (2019). P. 128-145. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2019.2518-1467.51> ISSN 2518-1467 (Online), ISSN 1991-3494 (Print).
- [3] Semenov V.G., Baimukanov D.A., Kosyaev N.I., Alentayev A.S., Nikitin D.A., Aubakirov Kh.A. (2019) Activation of adaptogenesis and bioresource potential of calves under the conditions of traditional and adaptive technologies // Bulletin of the National academy of sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Vol. 1, N 377 (2019). P. 175-189. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2019.2518-1467.20> ISSN 2518-1467 (Online), ISSN 1991-3494 (Print).
- [4] Belomyttsev E.S. (1994). Ways to increase beef production in beef cattle breeding based on the improvement of technology in various natural-economic zones: Author. dis. ... doctor of science in agricult. Orenburg. 64 p. (in Russ.).
- [5] Kireenko N. (2006). The protein cleavability and digestibility of the dry matter of rapeseed cake in bull-calves // Feeding farm animals and fodder production. N 8. P. 45-48 (in Russ.).
- [6] Kutuzov V.V. (2005). Features of digestion and metabolism of nitrogenous substances in young cattle with different methods of feeding: Author. dis. ... cand. biol. sciences: 03.00.13. Oryol. 20 p. (in Russ.).
- [7] Kharitonov E.V., Materikin A.M., Mysnik N.D. (1999). Digestion of protein in the intestines of ruminants. Modern problems of biotechnology and biology of productive animals. Collection of scientific works. T.HHHUSH. Borovsk. P. 330-343 (in Russ.).
- [8] Kharitonov L.V., Matveev V.A., Kharitonova O.V., Baranova A.P. (2000). The participation of amino acids in the regulation of nitrogen metabolism in calves. Actual biological problems in animal husbandry. Borovsk. P. 362-363 (in Russ.).
- [9] Baimukanov D.A., Seidaliyev N.B., Alentayev A.S., Abugaliyev S.K., Semenov V.G., Dalibayev E.K., Zhamalov B.S., Muka Sh.B. (2019) Improving the reproductive ability of the dairy cattle // Reports of the National academy of sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Vol. 2, N 324 (2019). P. 20-31. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2019.2518-1483.33> ISSN 2518-1483 (Online), ISSN 2224-5227 (Print).

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice in the journals of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics>.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the described work has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New_Code.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the Cross Check originality detection service <http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect>.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайте:

www:nauka-nanrk.kz

<http://agricultural.kz/index.php/en/>

Редактор *М. С. Ахметова, Т. М. Апендиев, Д. С. Аленов*
Верстка на компьютере *Д. Н. Калкабековой*

Подписано в печать 14.10.2019.

Формат 60x881/8. Бумага офсетная. Печать – ризограф.
8,2 п.л. Тираж 300. Заказ 5.