ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫНЫҢ

ҚАЗАҚ ҰЛТТЫҚ АГРАРЛЫҚ УНИВЕРСИТЕТІ

ХАБАРЛАРЫ

ИЗВЕСТИЯ

НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН

КАЗАХСКИЙ НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ АГРАРНЫЙ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN

KAZAKH NATIONAL AGRARIAN UNIVERSITY

АГРАРЛЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМДАР СЕРИЯСЫ ◆ СЕРИЯ АГРАРНЫХ НАУК ◆ SERIES OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES

4 (46)

ШІЛДЕ – ТАМЫЗ 2018 ж. ИЮЛЬ – АВГУСТ 2018 г. JULY – AUGUST 2018

2011 ЖЫЛДЫҢ ҚАҢТАР АЙЫНАН ШЫҒА БАСТАҒАН ИЗДАЕТСЯ С ЯНВАРЯ 2011 ГОДА PUBLISHED SINCE JANUARY 2011

> ЖЫЛЫНА 6 РЕТ ШЫҒАДЫ ВЫХОДИТ 6 РАЗ В ГОД PUBLISHED 6 TIMES A YEAR

Бас редактор

Есполов Т.И.,

э.ғ.д, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі және вице-президенті

Редакция алқасы:

Байзаков С.Б., э.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі (бас редактордың орынбасары); Тиреуов К.М., э.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі (бас редактордың орынбасары); Елешев Р.Е., т.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; Рау А.Г., т.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; Иванов Н.П., в.ғ.д, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; Кешуов С.А., т.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; Мелдебеков А., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; Чоманов У.Ч., т.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; Елюбаев С.З., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; Садыкулов Т., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., академигі; Баймұқанов Д.А., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр-мүшесі; Сансызбай А.Р., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр-мүшесі; Умбетаев И., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі; Оспанов С.Р., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА күрметті мүшесі; Олейченко С.И., а.ш.ғ.д., проф.; Кененбаев С.Б., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА күрметті мүшесі; Сагитов А.О., б.ғ.д., ҚР ҰҒА кадемигі; Сапаров А.С., а.ш.ғ.д., проф., ҚР АШҒА академигі; Балгабаев Н.Н., а.ш.ғ.д., проф.; Умирзаков С.И., т.ғ.д, проф.; Султанов А.А., в.ғ.д., проф., ҚР АШҒА академигі; Алимкулов Ж.С., т.ғ.д., проф., ҚР АШҒА академигі; Сарсембаева Н.Б., в.ғ.д., проф.

Редакция кеңесі:

Fasler-Kan Elizaveta, Dr., University of asel Switzeland; Koolmees Petrus Adrianus, Prof. Dr., Utrecht University, The Netherlands; Babadoost-Kondri Mohammad, Prof., University of Illinois, USA; Yus Aniza Binti Yusof, Dr., University Putra, Malayzia; Hesseln Hayley Fawn, As. Prof., University of Saskatchewan, Canada; Alex Morgounov, Pr., International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center Turkey; Андреш С., Молдова Республикасы ҰҒА академигі; Гаврилюк Н.Н., Украина ҰҒА академигі; Герасимович Л.С., Беларусь Республикасының ҰҒА академигі; Мамедов Г., Азербайджан Республикасының ҰҒА академигі; Шейко И.П., Беларусь Республикасының ҰҒА академигі; Жалнин Э.В., т.ғ.д., проф., Ресей; Боинчан Б., а.ш.ғ.д, проф., Молдова Республикасы; Юлдашбаев Ю.А., а.ш.ғ.д, проф., РҒА корр-мушесі, Ресей.

Главный редактор

Есполов Т.И.,

доктор эконом. наук, проф., вице-президент и академик НАН РК

Редакционная коллегия:

Байзаков С.Б., доктор эконом. наук, проф., академик НАН РК (заместитель главного редактора); Тиреуов К.М., доктор эконом. наук., проф., академик НАН РК (заместитель главного редактора); Елешев Р.Е., доктор техн. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; Рау А.Г., доктор техн. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; Иванов Н.П., доктор ветеринар. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; Кешуов С.А., доктор техн. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; Мелдебеков А., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; Садыкулов Т., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; Садыкулов Т., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик НАН РК; Баймуканов Д.А., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. НАН РК; Сансызбай А.Р., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. НАН РК; Олейченко С.И., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. НАН РК; Олейченко С.И., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф.; Кененбаев С.Б., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. НАН РК; Омбаев А.М., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., доктор эконом. наук, проф., Почетный член НАН РК; Сагитов А.О., доктор биол. наук, академик НАН РК; Сапаров А.С., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., академик АСХН РК; Балгабаев Н.Н., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф.; Умирзаков С.И., доктор техн. наук, проф., академик АСХН РК; Сарсембаева Н.Б., доктор ветеринар. наук, проф.

Редакционный совет:

Fasler-Kan Elizaveta, Dr., University of asel Switzeland; Koolmees Petrus Adrianus, Prof. Dr., Utrecht University, The Netherlands; Babadoost-Kondri Mohammad, Prof., University of Illinois, USA; Yus Aniza Binti Yusof, Dr., University Putra, Malayzia; Hesseln Hayley Fawn, As.Prof., University of Saskatchewan, Canada; Alex Morgounov, Pr., International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center Turkey; Андреш С., академик НАН Республики Молдова; Гаврилюк Н.Н., академик НАН Украины; Герасимович Л.С., академик НАН Республики Беларусь; Мамедов Г., академик НАН Республики Азербайджан; Шейко И.П., академик НАН Республики Беларусь; Жалнин Э.В., доктор техн. наук, проф., Россия; Боинчан Б., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., Республика Молдова; Юлдашбаев Ю.А., доктор сельхоз. наук, проф., член-корр. РАН, Россия.

Известия Национальной академии наук Республики Казахстан. Серия аграрных наук. ISSN 2224-526X

Собственник: РОО «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан» (г. Алматы) Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации и архивов Министерства культуры и информации Республики Казахстан № 10895-Ж, выданное 30.04.2010 г.

Периодичность 6 раз в год Тираж: 300 экземпляров

Адрес редакции: 050010, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, ком. 219-220, тел. 272-13-19, 272-13-18

http://nauka-nanrk.kz/agricultural.kz

© Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан, 2018

Адрес типографии: ИП «Аруна», г. Алматы, ул. Муратбаева, 75

Chief Editor

Espolov T.I.,

Dr. economy. Sciences, prof., Vice President and academician of the NAS RK

Editorial Board:

Baizakov S.B., Dr. of economy sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK (deputy editor); Tireuov K.M., Doctor of Economy Sciences., prof., academician of NAS RK (deputy editor); Eleshev R.E., Dr. Of agricultural sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Rau A.G., Dr. sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Ivanov N.P., Dr. of veterinary sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Keshuov S.A., Dr. sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Meldebekov A., doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Chomanov U.Ch., Dr. sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Yelvubayev S.Z., Dr. of agricultural sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Sadykulov T., Dr. Farm. Sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Baimukanov D.A., doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., corresponding member NAS RK; Sansyzbai A.R., doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., corresponding member NAS RK; Umbetaev I., Dr. Farm. Sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Ospanov S.R., Dr. agricultural sciences, prof., Honorary Member of NAS RK; Oleychenko S.N., Dr. Of agricultural sciences, prof.; Kenenbayev S.B., Dr. Agricultural sciences, prof., corresponding member NAS RK; Ombayev A.M., Dr. Agricultural sciences, Prof. corresponding member NAS RK; Moldashev A.B., Doctor of Economy sciences, prof., Honorary Member of NAS RK; Sagitov A.O., Dr. biol. sciences, academician of NAS RK; Saparov A.S., Doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., academician of NAS RK; Balgabaev N.N., the doctor agricultural sciences, Prof.; Umirzakov S.I., Dr. Sci. Sciences, Prof.; Sultanov A.A., Dr. of veterinary sciences, prof., academician of the Academy of Agricultural Sciences of Kazakhstan; Alimkulov J.C., Dr. of tekhnical sciences, prof., academician of the Academy of Agricultural sciences of Kazakhstan; Sarsembayeva N.B., Dr. veterinary sciences, prof.

Editorial Board:

Fasler-Kan Elizaveta, Dr., University of Basel Switzeland; Koolmees Petrus Adrianus, Prof. Dr., Utrecht University, The Netherlands; Babadoost-Kondri Mohammad, Prof., University of Illinois, USA; Yus Aniza Binti Yusof, Dr., University Putra, Malayzia; Hesseln Hayley Fawn, As. Prof., University of Saskatchewan, Canada; Alex Morgounov, candidate of agricultural sciences, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center Turkey; Andresh S., academician of NAS of Moldova; Gavriluk N.N., academician of NAS of Ucraine; Gerasimovich L.S., academician of NAS of Belorassia; Mamadov G., academician of NAS of Azerbaijan; Sheiko I.P., academician of NAS of Belorassia; Zhalnin E.V., Dr. of technical sciences, professor, Russia, Boinchan B., doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., Moldova; Yuldashbayev Y.A., doctor of agricultural sciences, prof., corresponding member of RAS, Russia.

News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Series of Agrarian Sciences. ISSN 2224-526X

Owner: RPA "National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan" (Almaty)

The certificate of registration of a periodic printed publication in the Committee of Information and Archives of the Ministry of Culture and Information of the Republic of Kazakhstan N 10895-W, issued 30.04.2010

Periodicity: 6 times a year Circulation: 300 copies

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of.219-220, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19, 272-13-18,

http://nauka-nanrk.kz/ agricultural.kz

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2018

Address of printing house: ST "Aruna", 75, Muratbayev str, Almaty

NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN SERIES OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES

ISSN 2224-526X

Volume 4, Number 46 (2018), 37 – 44

UDC 662.767.2(045)

Zh. K. Bakhov¹, K. U. Sultangalieva², N. B. Zhumadilova³

¹S. Seifullin Kazakh Agrotechnical University, Astana, Kazakhstan, ²M. Auezov South Kazakhstan state university, Shymkent, Kazakhstan, ³Al-Farabi Kazakh National university, Almaty, Kazakhstan. E-mail: zhbakhov@mail.ru, swallwda@ mail.ru, naziko29-81@mail.ru

CONSTRUCTION SOLUTION FOR INTENSIFICATION OF GASEOUS ENERGY CARRIER PRODUCTION IN BIOREACTOR

Abstracts. The issues of processing organic waste with the purpose of solving urgent environmental problems in rural areas are considered. In order to intensify and optimize the processes of methane fermentation of biomass, the effect of immobilization of methane-forming bacteria on the surface of polymer compositions on the anaerobic processing of organic waste has been studied. The structural improvement of bioreactors implies the introduction of an immobilization device. Biofilm formed in the immobilization device, keeps from washing away slowly growing biomass cells. This leads to neutralization of the acidic products of bacterial hydrolysis that forms and promotes deeper processing of biomass, eliminating the causes that inhibit the process of fermentation. At the same time, the efficiency and productivity of the bioreactor for the production of a gaseous energy carrier - biogas - is increasing.

Keywords: ecological situation, waste processing, cattle manure, poultry manure, anaerobic fermentation, biogas, bioreactor, immobilization.

Introduction. Intensification of livestock and poultry production poses a problem of waste treatment and use, as they have high biological activity and contain a significant amount of weeds' seeds and microorganisms, including pathogenic microflora. One of the most widespread and environmentally safe methods of processing such wastes is their anaerobic fermentation in bioreactors with obtaining a methane-containing gaseous energy carrier and highly effective organic fertilizer. Effective production of energy on a biogas plant is possible only if the total energy of the biogas obtained is significantly greater than the energy expenditure for its production. The technical solutions available in this issue are still not effective, since they do not allow full compliance with the norms and rules of environmental measures. In conditions of a constant increase in the amount of organic waste generated, the development of more refined and optimized methods of processing them does not lose its relevance [1-3]. Intensification of the processing of organic waste, depending on the type of raw materials being processed, the necessary technological conditions, environmental parameters, and environmental and energy-saving tasks are being carried out in several directions [4].

The raw material for biogas production can be a wide range of organic waste. From all types of organic waste, the most effective use of biogas technologies for processing waste from livestock and poultry farms of sewage sludge is due to the persistence of the waste stream in time.

Numerous studies [5-7] have established such regularity as: the higher the temperature, the faster the biomass decomposes, and, accordingly, the volume of biogas production is also higher. When using the thermophilic regime, organic waste is better disinfected than with mesophilic, so it is more appropriate to apply it in cases where ensuring sanitary and ecological safety is paramount. At the same time, the implementation of fermentation of biomass at higher temperatures leads to a decrease in methane content in biogas, which is associated with an intensive transition of carbon dioxide dissolved in the substrate to the gas phase [8-10]. Both the mesophilic and thermophilic regimes of anaerobic fermentation of biomass require the supply of thermal energy from outside and the stable maintenance of the specified temperature

parameters throughout the entire fermentation cycle. To obtain the necessary temperature and, if possible, maintain it at a constant level, the substrate usually is heated in order to supply the reactor.

Considerable interest in bioenergetic installations on a global scale is associated with the possibility of resource saving and reducing the use of fossil energy resources [11]. Biogases plants that process organic waste under anaerobic conditions, despite the positive aspects, have a relatively low energy efficiency in the production of biogas, since up to 60% of the produced biogas is spent for the plant's own needs. Analysis of the technological schemes of BEPs developed and applied in various countries of the world shows that an increase in the intensity of gas evolution at a commensurate fullness of the decomposition of the organic constituent of the substrate is associated with ensuring an optimal thermal regime of fermentation [12-14].

In the matter of increasing the efficiency of anaerobic processing of organic waste, considerable reserves are available in improving the design of bioreactors, which is the main apparatus in which anaerobic fermentation of biomass occurs.

Materials and methods. Cattle and pig manures, bird droppings and exhaust biogas substrate based on agriculture waste have been selected as research objects.

Currently, there are different types and designs of bioreactors, which can vary depending on the type of material being processed. We previously developed a new design for a biogas plant with an immobilization deviceto intensify the anaerobic fermentation of organic waste [15].

The organic waste substrate and the culture of methane-forming bacteria are loaded into the bioreactor and the temperature and pH index are maintained optimal values during the fermentation of the substrate. The bioreactor is equipped with an electric heater with area of heat exchange of 0.33 m², pipelines for supply and removal of the fermentation medium, pipelines for feeding and collection of the initial liquid substrate, and a recirculation pump. The upperpart of the bioreactor is connected by a pipeline with a collector of biogas - gasholder. The volume of biogas produced is measured using a gas flow meter. The gas content is analyzed using an infrared spectrophotometer for continuous gas monitoring. pH meter was also used to measure redox potential.

The initial liquid fraction inflows to the bioreactor through a pipeline valve from the storage tank with a recirculation pump until it reaches the level of the upper removable grating of the immobilization device. The initial solid fraction of manure is transferred when the lid is opened into the bioreactor on the surface of the immobilization device. The process of liquid fraction mixing is proceed with using a recirculation pump. The circulationis directed towards the top of the reactor and the liquid is sprayed onto the surface of the solid fraction through the feed line of the fermentation medium. The liquid fraction is enriched with nutrientswhile passing through the solid substrate. The process of methane fermentation and the decomposition of organic substances carried by two groups of microorganisms - acid and methanogens in the bioreactor under anaerobic conditions. Biofilm (microflora) develops during the fermentation on the surface of the carrier in the immobilization device, which serves to prevent the flushing of slowly growing cells and ensure biomass retention regardless of the time of hydrolytic confinement.

The immobilization device is populated with microorganisms, forming a mucous layer (biofilms) and microorganisms. Formed microorganisms that immobilized in rings are less subjected to wound stress and cell damage by gas bubbles. The upper removablecell is packed with a fine mesh net to hold up fibrous and coarse manure and bird droppings. The process of anaerobic fermentation of the substrate lasts for 30 days of hydrolytic retention time (VGU) in the mesophilic regime (at a temperature of 40 ± 0.2 °C). The experiments were carried out in three repetitions of two launches. At the first start, 95 liters of liquid fraction were poured into the reactor, after which 15 kg of solid manure from the top of the reactor was loaded. Biogas obtained from anaerobic fermentation of livestock and poultry wastes contains 60-90% of methane (CH4) and 15-30% of carbon dioxide (CO2), which accumulates through the pipeline in the gas tank.

Results and Discussion. Samples of fresh material (SM) of manure and recirculating liquid were analyzed for dry matter (CB), organic dry matter (oCB) and ash content according to standard APHA (1995) methods.

Three samples from each substrate were dried overnight at 105 °C in an oven to determine the content of dry matter and moisture. Dried samples were burned at 505 °C for 12 hours in an oven to determine the content of organic dry matter and ash. The results of the analysis of substrates are given in table 1.

Substrate samples	Parameters (%)				
	Dry matter content (in fresh matter)	Organic dry matter content (in fresh matter)	Ash (in fresh matter)	Humidity of substrate	
Manure KPC	28,6±0,71	86,3±0,84	3,12±0,61	71,4	
Manure slurry	0,52±0,002	32,3±0,75	0,37±0,004	99,48	

Table 1 – Results of the analysis of cattle manure

Table 2 shows the quantitative characteristics of the loaded manure of cattle in the bioreactor. In the first run, a reactor was loaded with 15 kg of cattle manure with 4.29 kg of dry manure, 3.7 kg of organic dry matter and 95 liters of prepared slurry. In the second run, 15 kg of litter manure was also loaded in excess of the fermented residue from the first run.

Parameters	First load	Second load	
Mass of raw manure KPC (kg)	15	15	
Dry matter (kg)	4,29	4,48	
Organic dry matter (kg)	3,7	3,62	
Added slurry (l)	95	_	
Continuation of fermentation	30	28	

Table 2 – Quantitative characteristic of the raw material

The experiments were carried out in three repetitions with two starts. At the first start, 19 liters of inoculum, taken from a 400-liter reactor operating in a continuous mode, were inoculated into the reactor. After that, 3 kg of cattle manure was loaded from the top of the reactor. The liquid fraction is continuously recirculated every 2 hours for 15 minutes during the entire fermentation cycle by the introduction of fermentation liquid. The circulation proceeds towards the top of the reactor. When the output of biogas from the first pilot start is reduced, the next batch of manure cattle (3 kg) is loaded from above.

In the second run the inoculum does not change and is not added additionally e.g. the second start is initiated by the first run's liquor. All experimental repetitions of two launches have shown similar data. The daily methane output reaches 0.002 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter by the second day, and decreases to 0.001 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter at the end of the second day. After the third day, it increases to 0.006 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter on the seventh day and gradually decreases to the end of the cycle, showing a methane yield of between 0.006 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter - 0.004 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter. The average total combined methane yield is 0.148 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter. The percentage of methane after the 3 days of launch was 26.5%, on the 5th day it increased to 50% and was higher than 55% by the end of the sixth day. The peak of methane percent in the first run was 56.1% on the 8th day.

The second start, initiated by the liquor liquor of the first run, showed intensive formation of biogas. At the same time, methane formation reaches 0.004 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter in a day, decreases to 0.002 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter at the beginning of the second day, and rises gradually to a maximum on the fifth day (0.009 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter). After this, during the formation of methane, a gradual decrease to 0.003 Nm³/kg of organic dry matteris observed. The total average cumulative methane yield is 0.150 Nm³/kg of organic dry matter. The percentage of methane in biogas after the second day is 35%, which gradually increases to 66% on the seventh day, while achieving a peak percentage of methane content in biogas.

The cumulative yield of biogas (A) and methane (B) is shown in figures 1 and 2.

The final cumulative methane output is reached at the first start at the end of the 28-day VSU, and in the second start at the end of the 21-day VSU. The pH ranged between 7 and 7.53during anaerobic fermentation. In the first run, the pH rose from 7.1 to 7.37 before the tenth day and then fell to 7.33 by the 15th day. After that, until the end of the cycle, it rose to 7.5. In the second run, the initial pH was 7.2, but rose to 7.67 before the end of the process.

The production rate of biogas and methane is shown in figures 3 and 4. The performance of the bioreactor with the immobilization device is shown in table 3.

Table 4 presents the technical parameters of the bioreactor.




Figure 1 – Cumulative biogas emission




Figure 2 – Cumulative methane emission




Figure 3 – Production rate of biogas




Figure 4 – Production rate of methane

The high initial biogas and methane production in all starts to the third day is explained by the fact that due to selective fermentation of rapidly decomposable organic substances can lead to a temporary decrease in the production of biogas and methane between the third and fourth days.

Table 3 – The productivity of a bioreactor with an immobilization device

		Final cumulative	Gomperse constants			Duration to reach
Experiments/Runs	Finas pH	methane emission (Nm³/kg of organic dry matter)	P (Nm³/kg of ODM-1)	R _m (Nm ³ /kg of ODM-1d)	λ (days)	95% emission potential of methane (days)
Experiment 1						
Run 1		0,153	0,153	0,014	1,9	17,7
Run 2		0,165	0,165	0,018	0,6	14,1
Average		0,159	0,159	0,016	1,25	15,9
Experiment 2						
Run 1		0,148	0,148	0,014	2,4	18,2
Run 2		0,172	0,172	0,018	0,6	14,5
Average		0,16	0,16	0,016	1,5	16,35
Experiment 3						
Run 1		0,156	0,156	0,015	2	17,6
Run 2		0,176	0,176	0,021	0,7	12,5
Average		0,166	0,166	0,018	1,35	15,05
Final main value		0,162	0,162	0,017	1,37	15,8
Stand. Error		0,002	0,002	0,0007	0,07	0,38
Snand. Deviation		0,0038	0,0038	0,0010	0,0130	0,6600
Final range		$0,162 \pm 0,0038$	$0,162 \pm 0,0038$	$0,017 \pm 0,001$	1,37 ± 0,013	15.8 ± 0.66

F-critical

 α 0,05 Accuracy level (%) 95

Table 4 – Basic technical parameters of bioreactor

Index	Unit of measurement	Value		
Total volume of bioreactor	m ³	0,25		
Volume of gas space	m ³	0,07		
Processing temperature when mesophilic regime – M with thermophilic regime – T	°C °C	35-37 55-57		
Processing time	days	20-22 on M 12-15 on T		
Pump power for manure mixing	kW	0,37		
Installed power. heater	kW	2,0		
Heat trans fersurface area	m ²	0,33		
Performance* on initial manure M-T forbiogas MT	l/day 1/day	10-15 100-170		
Net weight	kg	450		
*Calculation of M – mesophilic regime of fermentation; T – thermophilic regime of fermentation.				

Thus, a promising direction for increasing the yield of methane and biogas during processing of biomass is the structural improvement of bioreactors. The technical result achieved with the use of a bioreactor with an immobilization device is to increase the efficiency and productivity of a bioreactor for the production of a gaseous energy carrier - biogas by deeper processing of the original biomass through the use of a leaching layer and the immobilization of microorganisms. Immobilization of methanogenic bacteria prevents the flushing of slowly growing cells and ensuring the retention of biomass, regardless of

the time of hydrolytic confinement. Re-use of the fermentation medium and immobilization of microorganisms in polymer carriers in the reactor makes it possible to initiate methanogenesis quickly and reduce the VGU due to the formation of biofilms, the stages of grinding raw materials, additional acidification and hydrolysis.

REFERENCES

- [1] Weiland P. Biogas production: current state and perspectives // ApplMicrobiolBiotechnol. 2010. Vol. 85. P. 849-860.
- [2] Alatriste-Mondragon F., et al. Anaerobic codigestion of municipal, farm and industrial organic wastes: A survey of recent literature // Water Environment Research. 2006. Vol.78. P. 607-636.
- [3] Alastair J.W., Hobbs P.J., Holliman P.J., Jones D.L. Optimization of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources // Bioresour. Technol. 2008.Vol. 99. P. 7928-7940.
- [4] Kovalev, A.A. Ecological evaluation of the bioenergy plant / Kovalev A.A., Fedotov V.S., Ulchenko L.I., Melnik R.A. // NTB VIESHK. Issue 2(65). M., 1989.
- [5] Fantozzi F., Buratti C. Biogas production from different substrates in an experimental Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor anaerobic digester // Bioresource Technology. 2009. Vol. 100. P. 5783-5789.
- [6] Ahn H.K., Smith M.C., Kondrad S.L., White J. W. Evaluation of Biogas Production Potential by Dry Anaerobic Digestion of Switchgrass-Animal Manure Mixtures // ApplBiochemBiotechnol 160, 2010. P. 965-975.
- [7] Koppar A., Pullammanappallil P. Single-stage, batch, leach-bed, thermophilic anaerobic digestion of spent sugar beet pulp // Bioresource Technology. 99: 2007. P. 2831-2839.
- [8] Sidiganov Yu.P., Shamshurov D.N., Kostromin D.V. Anaerobic processing of waste to produce biogas // Mechanization and electrification of agriculture. 2008. N 6. P. 42-43.
- [9] Kovalev A.A., Kovalev D.A., Kharchenko V.V. Comparative characteristics of heat pumps in the heat supply system of biogas plants // Journal "Mechanization and electrification of the agricultural economy. 2012. N 4.
- [10] Bakhov Zh.K., Korazbekova K.U., Lemmer A. Use of the reactor with a layer of leaching of immobilization of methanogenic bacteria during anaerobic fermentation of manure // Izvestiya NAS RK. Ser. Biological and Medical. 2013. N 4(298). P. 155-160.
- [11] Kaparaju P., Ellegaard L., Angelidaki I. Optimization of biogas production from manure through serial digestion: Labscale and pilot-scale studies // Bioresource Technology. 2009. 100: 701-709.
- [12] Arbuzova E.V., Scheklein S.E. To the problem of energy efficiency of biogas technologies in the climatic conditions of Russia // Alternative energy and ecology ISJAEE. 2011. N 7. P. 108-110.
- [13] Lo H.M, Kurniawan T.A., Sillanp M.E., Pai T.Y., Chiang C.F., Chao K.P., Liu M.H., Chuang S.H., Banks C.J., Wang S.C., Lin K.C., Lin C.Y., Liu W.F., Cheng P.H., Chen C.K., Chiu H.Y., Wua H.Y. Modeling biogas production from organic fraction of MSW co-digested with MSWI ashes in anaerobic bioreactors // Bioresour Technol. 101 (16). 2010 Aug. P. 6329-35.
- [14] Pantskhava E.S., Koshkin N.L. // Renewable sources of energy and their significance for energy polici in Germany and Russia. Freiburg in Breisgau. 24 October 1994.
- [15] Bakhov Zh.K., Korazbekova K.U., Mutalieva B. Zh., Saparbekova A.A. // Innovative patent of the Republic of Kazakhstan № 28281 Bioreactor for biogas and fertilizer production. Published 15.04.2014. Bulletin № 4.

Ж. К. Бахов¹, К. У. Султангалиева², Н. Б. Жумадилова³

¹С. Сейфуллин атындағы Қазақ агротехникалық университеті, Астана, Қазақстан,
 ²М. Әуезов атындағы Оңтүстік Қазақстан мемлекеттік университеті, Шымкент, Қазақстан,
 ³Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті, Алматы, Қазақстан

БИОРЕАКТОРДАҒЫ ГАЗ ТӘРІЗДІ ЭНЕРГИЯ ТАСЫМАЛДАУШЫЛАРЫН ӨНДІРУДІ КҮШЕЙТУГЕ АРНАЛҒАН КОНСТРУКЦИЯЛЫҚ ШЕШІМДЕР

Аннотация. Ауылдық жерлердегі шұғыл экологиялық проблемаларды шешу мақсатында органикалық қалдықтарды өңдеу мәселелері қарастырылады. Биомасса метан ферменттеу процестерін жеделдету және оңтайландыру мақсатында органикалық қалдықтарды анаэробты өңдеуге полимерлік композициялар бетінде метан құратын бактериялардың иммобилизациясының әсері зерттелді. Биореакторлардың құрылымдық жақсарту иммобилизациялау құрылғысын енгізуді білдіреді. Иммобилизация қондырғысында пайда болған биоқабыршақ, биомасса жасушаларының баяу өсіуін тоқтатады. Бұл бактериялық гидролиздің қышқылдық өнімдерін бейтараптандыруға әкеледі, ол биомассады терендете өңдеуге ықпал етеді, ашыту процесін тежейтін себептерді жоққа шығарады. Сонымен қатар, биоресурстардың өндірісі үшін биореактордың тиімділігі мен өнімділігі артып келеді.

Түйін сөздер: экологиялық жағдай, қалдықтарды қайта өңдеу, ірі қара мал, құс саңғырығы, анаэробты ашыту, биогаз, биореактор, иммобилизация.

Ж. К. Бахов¹, К. У.Султангалиева², Н. Б. Жумадилова³

¹Казахский агротехнический университет им. С. Сейфуллина, Астана, Казахстан, ²Южно-Казахстанский государственный университет им. М. Ауэзова, Казахстан, Шымкент, ³Казахский национальный университет им. Аль-Фараби, Алматы, Казахстан

КОНСТРУКЦИОНЫЕ РЕШЕНИЯ ДЛЯ ИНТЕНСИФИКАЦИИ ПРОИЗВОДСТВА ГАЗООБРАЗНОЙ ЭНЕРГИИ В БИОРЕАКТОРЕ

Аннотация. Рассмотрены вопросы переработки органических отходов с целью решения неотложных экологических проблем в сельских районах. Для интенсификации и оптимизации процессов метановой ферментации биомассы изучено влияние иммобилизации метанообразующих бактерий на поверхности полимерных материалов на анаэробную обработку органических отходов. Структурное улучшение биореакторов подразумевает введение иммобилизационного устройства. Биопленка, образованная в иммобилизационном устройстве, не позволяет смыть медленно растущие клетки биомассы. Это приводит к нейтрализации кислотных продуктов бактериального гидролиза, который образует и способствует более глубокой обработке биомассы, устраняя причины, препятствующие процессу ферментации. В то же время эффективность и производительность биореактора для производства газообразного энергоносителя - биогаза - возрастает.

Ключевые слова: экологическая ситуация, переработка отходов, навоз крупного рогатого скота, птичий помет, анаэробная ферментация, биогаз, биореактор, иммобилизация.

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice in the journals of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics and http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the described work has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New_Code.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the Cross Check originality detection service http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайте:

www:nauka-nanrk.kz http://agricultural.kz/

Редактор М. С. Ахметова, Т. М. Апендиев, Д. С. Аленов Верстка на компьютере Д. Н. Калкабековой

Подписано в печать 22.05.2018. Формат 60х881/8. Бумага офсетная. Печать – ризограф. 5,4 п.л. Тираж 300. Заказ 4.